

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.). FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,464		04/22/2004	Noriaki Kodama	249-341	6417	
23117	7590	09/30/2004		EXAMINER		
NIXON &	VANDEI	RHYE, PC	GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL			
1100 N GLE	BE ROAI)			·	
8TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N. VA 2	22201-4714	3749			

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					/X				
,		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	4				
		10/829,4	l64	KODAMA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examine	r	Art Unit					
		Stephen		3749					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence address	ss				
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum or to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. (30) days, a reply within the sta statutory period will apply and b by will, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a repetutory minimum of thirty will expire SIX (6) MONTI uplication to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commu. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>27 July 2004</u> .							
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from c							
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/ard Applicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected.	e: a) accepted or bection to the drawing(s) and the correction is requ	be held in abeyand ired if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office act	y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority docum ional Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Ap nents have been r ule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	age				
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot(s) Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Per No(s)/Mail Date 20040617.			/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-15	i2)				

Application/Control Number: 10/829,464

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Calton et al. (US 5,579,647). Calton is considered to disclose the claimed invention comprising:

a plurality of rotors **22** & **24** disposed in series, each of which is configured to carry an adsorbent thereon and is rotatably supported (wherein the disclosed desiccant is considered to anticipate the claimed adsorbent because remove moisture);

partition members which are arranged at outermost end portions of the rotors and between the rotors so as to partition a rotary zone of each rotor into an adsorption zone, a regeneration zone and a cooling zone (please see column 5 lines 51-65);

a driving member which rotatably drives the rotors (please see column 9 lines 31-36 and lines 44-51);

a supply passage which allows sucked air to pass through the adsorption zone to obtain dry air from which moisture and organic materials have been removed, and which supplies the dry air into the target space (please see column 10 lines 20-35); and

Application/Control Number: 10/829,464

Art Unit: 3749

an exhaust passage which allows a portion of the dry air to pass through the cooling zone, then heats the cooled air, and then allows the heated air to pass through the regeneration zone to separate the moisture and the organic materials from the adsorbent thereby (please see column 15 lines 16-52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Calton in view of Ogasahara (US 5,242,473). Calton is considered to disclose the claimed invention, as discussed above under the anticipatory rejection, except for the claimed circumferential radial member fins and rotational speed settings. Ogasahara is considered to disclose circumferential radial member fins and rotational speed settings at column 4 lines 55-66. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Calton with the circumferential radial member fins and rotational speed

Application/Control Number: 10/829,464

Art Unit: 3749

settings, considered to be disclosed by Ogasahara, for the purpose of providing a sealing adsorbent desiccant relationship for an optimum rotational drying speed such that the regeneration and cooling of processed air is more effectively treated.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference C, cited in this action, is considered to disclose an adsorbent air treating process.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gravini whose telephone number is 703 308 7570. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira S. Lazarus can be reached on 703 308 1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Smg 9/29/04

Steph on Stain